Exhibit Z

Case 3:21-cv-04062-EMC Document 588-3 Filed 07/22/24 Page 2 of 5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	
)
4	GUARDANT HEALTH, INC.,)
)
5	PLAINTIFF,)
)
6	VS.) CASE NO.
) 3:21-CV-04062-EMC
7	NATERA, INC.,
)
8	DEFENDANT.)
)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	** HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL **
13 14	HIGHEL CONFIDENTIAL
15	REMOTE PROCEEDINGS OF
16 17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
	DANIEL F. HEITJAN, PH.D.
18	WEDNESDAY, JULY 17, 2024
19	
20	
21	
22	JOB NO. SF 6804613
23	REPORTED BY: REAGAN EVANS, RPR, RMR, CRR, CCRR,
24	
25	CLR, CRC, CA CSR NO. 8176
	Page 1

1	I don't think these people had bad	
2	intentions. I don't think they're dummies. I think	
3	they somehow blundered into a bad decision and it	
4	cost them.	
5	Q Does Guardant bear any responsibility in	13:38:47
6	the results in the COBRA trial being terminated when	
7	it did	
8	MR. SCOLNICK: Objection to form.	
9	THE WITNESS: Well, I wasn't aware that	
10	this was a question of assigning blame for this.	13:39:02
11	That's not really that's not really what I'm here	
12	for.	
13	BY MR. BRAMHALL:	
14	Q Do you think it's appropriate for you or	
15	anyone else to assign blame on the NCI, NRG, or the	13:39:12
16	COBRA PIs?	
17	MR. SCOLNICK: Objection to form.	
18	THE WITNESS: I'll make it clear what my	
19	position is, that the the interim analysis was	
20	poorly planned. And the decision to go along with	13:39:27
21	that was not a good one.	
22	BY MR. BRAMHALL:	
23	Q Knowing what we know now; right?	
24	A Well, we are there things we know now	
25	that we didn't know then?	13:39:46
	Pa	age 157

1	I mean, what we know now is that it was a
2	catastrophic failure. Were other facts available
3	are there facts available now that weren't available
4	then?
5	Other than that, I'm not sure what they 13:40:01
6	were.
7	I think saying that, Well, we're going to
8	take this big multimillion-dollar effort involving
9	hundreds of patients and all these people and all,
10	everybody's time and make everything depend on this 13:40:13
11	little bitty analysis of 16 people, I think that
12	could have been foreseen.
13	Of course, it's clear now that it was a
14	mistake. I think it was foreseeable it was a
15	mistake, but, you know, sometimes people make 13:40:28
16	mistakes.
17	Q Would you agree
18	MR. SCOLNICK: When you change gears and
19	pick up a new topic, we have been going about an
20	hour. 13:40:42
21	MR. BRAMHALL: Sure. Let me just ask a
22	couple more.
23	MR. SCOLNICK: Sure.
24	BY MR. BRAMHALL:
25	Q Dr. Heitjan, you don't deny that Reveal's 13:40:47
	Page 158

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2. 3 I, Reagan Evans, RPR, RMR, CRR, CCRR, CLR, CRC, CSR No. 8176, in and for the State of 4 California, do hereby certify: 5 That prior to being examined, the witness 6 7 named in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and 8 9 nothing but the truth; 10 That said remote deposition was taken down 11 by me in shorthand at the time and place therein named and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 12 13 direction, and the same is a true, correct, and 14 complete transcript of said proceedings; 15 That if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a federal 16 17 case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript $\{X\}$ was $\{\}$ was not required. 18 19 I further certify that I am not interested in the event of the action. 2.0 21 Witness my hand this 18th day of July, 2024. 2.2 23 24 Certified Shorthand Reporter for the State of California 25 Page 336